

2
said interdental mouthpiece is removably attached to said housing on the external surface ~~thereof~~ adjacent to [a distal end thereof] said motor driven vibrating unit.

REMARKS

The claims in the application number 1-9. All of which now stand rejected.

Claims 2-4 have been amended to remove the 35 U.S.C. 112 reasons for rejection.

Applicant requests reconsideration of the claims now in the application and solicits their allowance.

The Examiner's reasons for rejection of claims 1-9 as unpatentable over McCarty, Jr. under 35 U.S.C. 103 is respectfully traversed.

Applicant claims, in claim 1, vibrating a patient's teeth of both upper and lower jaw. The reference teaches rehabilitating the mandibular joint by transitory motion (side-by-side) of the joint by movement of the teeth of the lower jaw while maintaining the teeth of the upper jaw in a fixed position. The reference is silent as to any vibratory motion effecting both the upper and lower teeth for the purpose of eliminating post adjustment pain created by orthodontic tooth